FILED
FEB 20 195
JOHN T. FEY, C

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1956.

Nos. 703 and 704.

THE LAKESHORE COMPANY,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE CITY OF EUCLID,
Respondent,
and
BENJAMIN D. ZEVIN and LILLIAN C. ZEVIN,
Petitioners,
vs.
THE CITY OF EUCLID,
Respondent.

BRIEF OF RESPONDENT, THE CITY OF EUCLID, In Opposition to Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

PAUL H. TORBET,
Director of Law of the City of Euclid, Ohio,
585 East 222nd Street,
Euclid, Ohio,
PERRY L. GRAHAM,
Union Commerce Building,
Cleveland, Ohio,
Counsel for Respondent.

THE GATES LEGAL PUBLISHING CO., CLEVELAND, OHIO—MAIN 1-9647

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Preliminary Statement 1

Statement of the Cases 2

Question Presented 6

Reasons Why the Writ Sought Should Be Denied 6

Conclusion 11

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.

Cases.

Dallas, City of, v. Andrews, 149 Texas 609 8

Euclid v. Ambler Realty Co., 272 U. S. 365 (1926) 3, 11, 12

Olsen v. United States, 292 U. S. 246 7

Text.

4 *Nichols on Eminent Domain,* 141, Sec. 12.322 8

Statutes.

Ohio Revised Code:

Section 715.30 7

Sections 719.01-719.21 (Chapter 719) 2

Section 719.01 2

Section 719.09 10